

Assessment matrix of written paper Wundexpert ICW® Incl. feedback for participants

Salutation, Name, First name: Mrs/Mr Neumann, Daniel

Testing organisation/

seminar provider: _____

Certifying body: For second marking As part of the subsequent certification

Marked paper

First submission First repetition Second repetition

Are the following requirements met?

- Written paper was submitted in time Yes No
- Adherence to stipulated length of written paper Yes No
- Required appendices have been submitted in full Yes No
 - Wound documentation form Cover page written paper Proof of required internship

If these conditions are **not fully** met, the written paper is also regarded as "failed" when all other criteria would allow a pass.

Assessment aspects	Max. points	Actual points
1. Formal structure/general requirements (3 points)		
Line spacing, margins, font size, page numbers, paper bound or stapled	1	1
Required appendices in accordance with requirements (e.g. documentation forms) Information on sources/bibliography created and comprehensible	2	1
Comments		
Options for improvement:		
2. Chronology, structure, form (4 points)		
Stipulated structure followed (title page, general part, final part) All parts have the required length	1	1
General part highlights the framework conditions of the internship Case description includes required sub-items Final part includes reflection on learning situation	2	1
Meaningful thematic structure of individual chapters, meaningful distribution of content weighting	1	1
Comments		
Options for improvement:		
3. Language aspects (4 points)		
Comprehensibility, expression, factual correctness, reasonableness	2	1
Spelling, grammar, word order	1	0.5
Technical language, no colloquial expression used	1	0.5
Comments		
Options for improvement:		

4. Technical accuracy (10 points)		
Presentation of case/medical history Attribution of wound to the underlying illness or cause is correct Correct reasons offered	3	2
Wound-related assessment Wound analysis (clear medical wound diagnosis and classification) Wound description is complete	3	2
Therapy plan and medication and/or medical products are presented in a readily comprehensible manner	2	1
Appropriate explanation of accompanying therapy	2	1
Comments		
Options for improvement:		
5. Own highlights (11 points)		
Reflective analysis and evaluation of the previous treatment Assessment of overall and wound situation	3	2
Learning from course content is visibly implemented	2	1
Own alternative suggestions or confirmation of current treatment, in each case with accurate technical reasoning	2	2
Outlook (progress of healing) Forward-looking planning and expectation of further development or further needs	3	2
Evaluation of the internship/learning situation	1	0.5
Options for improvement:		
Comments		
Sum:	32	19.5

Grade key:

%	100/ 92	91-81	80-67	66-56	≤ 56%
Grade:	1	2	3	4.0	< 4.0 = Fail
Points:	32-29.5	29-26	25.5-21.5	21-18	< 18

Concluding comments: _____

Overall grade: 4.0 **Passed** **Not passed**

Repetition possible, by deadline: _____

Repetition not possible due to: _____

05.05.2017 **I: Verheyen-Cronau**

Place, Date Name and Signature of the examiner (from the registered examination commission)

Note on use:

The Comments column can be used but does not necessarily have to be.
If the examination was not passed because of the report, the suggestions for improvement should be completed as feedback for the participant. In this case the participant should be provided with a copy of the assessment matrix.

The assessment scheme must be stapled to the written paper and filed in line with requirements!